



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/336,933	06/21/1999	MARK C. LEIFER	015685-024	8251

8791 7590 04/25/2003

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

EXAMINER

TRAN, PABLO N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2685

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/336,933

Applicant(s)

LEIFER ET AL.

Examiner

Pablo N Tran

Art Unit

2685

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-114 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-55 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 56-114 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 26.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 56-114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Youssefmir et al.* (6,141,567).

As per claims 56, 80, and 104, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed a method to compute an antenna processing strategy for a remote user based at least in part on a received signal data; and modifying the antenna processing strategy by incorporating signature data relating to one or more interferers with the received data to reduce one or both antenna transmit signal strength in, and sensitivity of the antenna to signals from one or more interferers (col. 18/ln. 11-col. 22/ln. 27).

As per claims 57, 81, and 105, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 57, 81, and 105 (abstract, col. 18/ln. 11-col. 22/ln. 27).

As per claims 58, 82, and 107, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 58, 82, and 107 (col. 18/ln. 11-col. 22/ln. 27).

Art Unit: 2685

As per claims 59, 83, and 108, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 59, 83, and 108 (col. 16/ln. 19-53).

As per claims 60 and 84, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 60 and 84 (col. 26/ln. 15-27).

As per claims 61 and 86, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 61 and 86 (col. 25/ln. 23-col. 26/ln. 27).

As per claims 62 and 87, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 62 and 87 (col. 6/ln. 12-32).

As per claims 63 and 88, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 63 and 88 (col. 16/ln. 11-18).

As per claims 64 and 89, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 64 and 89 (col. 16/ln. 11-18).

As per claims 65, 90, and 109, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 65, 90, and 109 (abstract, col. 6/ln. 12-32).

As per claims 66, 91, and 110, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 66, 91, and 110 (col. 18/ln. 11-col. 22/ln. 27)

As per claims 67 and 92, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 67 and 92 (col. 18/ln. 11-col. 22/ln. 27).

As per claims 68 and 93, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 68 and 93 (col. 25/ln. 23-col. 26/ln. 27).

As per claims 69 and 94, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 69 and 94 (col. 18/ln. 11-col. 22/ln. 27).

Art Unit: 2685

As per claims 70 and 95, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 70 and 95 (col. 18/ln. 11-col. 22/ln. 27).

As per claims 71 and 96, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 71 and 96 (col. 18/ln. 11-col. 22/ln. 27).

As per claim 72, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 72 (col. 18/ln. 11-col. 22/ln. 27).

As per claims 73, 97, and 111, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 73, 97, and 111 (col. 18/ln. 11-col. 22/ln. 27).

As per claims 74 and 98, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 74 and 98 (col. 18/ln. 11-col. 22/ln. 27).

As per claims 75 and 99, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 75 and 99 (col. 18/ln. 11-col. 22/ln. 27).

As per claims 76, 100, and 112, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 76, 100, and 112 (col. 18/ln. 11-col. 22/ln. 27).

As per claims 77, 101, and 113, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 77, 101, and 113 (col. 18/ln. 11-col. 22/ln. 27).

As per claims 78, 102, and 114, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 78, 102, and 114 (col. 18/ln. 11-col. 22/ln. 27).

As per claims 79 and 103, *Youssefmir et al.* disclosed the limitation of claims 79 and 103 (col. 18/ln. 11-col. 22/ln. 27).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Madhow et al. (6,426,973), Tsutsui et al. (6,385,181), and Gu et al. (6,236,839) disclose antenna arrangement in a radiotelephone communication system.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (703)308-7941. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (703)305-4385.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

PABLO N. TRAN
PATENT EXAMINER


AU 2685

April 18, 2003